



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/580,774

05/07/2007

Wenli Cai

8095-12 US

7251

22150 7590 10/14/2010
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 WOODBURY ROAD
WOODBURY, NY 11797

EXAMINER

ANSARI, TAHMINA N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/14/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mail@chauiplaw.com
uspto1@chauiplaw.com
garramone@chauiplaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/580,774	Applicant(s) CAI, WENLI	
	Examiner TAHMINA ANSARI	Art Unit 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 14-16 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 11-13 and 17-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 are pending in this application.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1A appears to be prior art in accordance with the description and the specification and is not labeled as such. Figure 1A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 17-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 17 is drawn to functional descriptive material recorded on "a program storage device readable by a computer". Normally, the claim would be statutory. However, the broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim drawn to "a program storage device readable by a computer" typically covers forms of non-transitory tangible media as well as transitory propagating signals per se, making the recited claim language directed towards non-statutory subject matter such as a "signal".

"A transitory, propagating signal ... is not a "process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter." Those four categories define the explicit scope and reach of subject matter patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101; thus, such a signal cannot be patentable subject matter." (In re Nuijten, 84 USPQ2d 1495 (Fed. Cir. 2007)).

Likewise, claims 18-29 are dependent upon Claim 17 and fail to overcome the problem recited for claim 17. Because the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure appears to encompass non-statutory subject matter (i.e., because the specification is silent to the exact embodiment of a computer readable medium, it is interpreted as including the ordinary and customary meaning of computer readable medium covering both non-transitory media and transitory propagating signals, etc.) the claim as a whole is non-statutory. In view of the USPTO's Interim Examination Instructions for Evaluating Subject Matter Eligibility under 35 U.S.C. 101 (the

Art Unit: 2624

"Guidelines"), and the Official Gazette Notice (1351 OG 212, made available February 23, 2010), the examiner suggests amending the claim to include the limitation "non-transitory" in order to exclude any non-statutory subject matter. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 11-13, 17, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carroll et al. (US Patent 6,501,848 B1, hereby referred to as "Carroll"), in view of Yanof et al. (US Patent 5,734,384, hereby referred to as "Yanof"), further in view of Kanitsar et al. ("Advanced Curve Planar Reformation: Flattening of Vascular Structures", IEEE Visualization 2003, October 2003, hereby referred to as "Kanitsar").

Consider Claim 1:

Carroll teaches:

-a; "A method of visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the steps of" (**Carroll: abstract, column 3 lines 12-68, column 4 lines 1-**

47, column 7 lines 1-62, Figure 1; methods and systems to view 3D reconstruction of vascular structures):

-b; “providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space” (**Carroll: column 7 lines 1-67, column 8 lines 1-42, Figure 1, 9-13; Figure 9 details the methods for defining segments and extracting features from the arterial tree based on intensities**);

-c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” (**Carroll: column 8 lines 19-67, columns 9 lines 1-46, column 15 lines 19-67, column 16, column 17 lines 1-35, Figures 19, 20, and 27; a vascular central axis represented by the centerline points in the arterial tree are selected, and cross sectional planes tangential to the vessel centerline are selected**);

-f; “and rendering an image of said vascular structure” (**Carroll: column 26 lines 65-68, column 27 lines 1-38, Figure 52; a display of the color coded arterial tree is rendered**)

Bailey does not teach:

- d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section”;
- e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab”;
- f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure”.

Yanof teaches:

- a; “A method of visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the steps of” **(Yanof: abstract, column 2 lines 49-68, column 3 lines 1-15, column 4 lines 48-62, column 5 lines 54-68, column 6 lines 1-31, Figures 1-2; a vascular structure can be visualized)**;
- b; “providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space” **(Yanof: column 5 lines 54-68, column 6 lines 1-53, Figures 1-2)**;
- c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” **(Yanof: column 6 lines 48-68, column 7 lines 1-30, Figures 2, 3A-3, 4A-C; a vascular central axis is defined (38), and a plurality of cross sections perpendicular or orthogonal to the axis are formed)**;

Art Unit: 2624

-d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section” **(Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62, column 9 lines 25-55, column 10 lines 57-68, column 11 lines 1-25, column 12 lines 17-30, lines 65-68, column 13 lines 1-5, column 14 lines 17-68, column 15 lines 1-17, Figures 6A-6F; convex polygon edges representing convex hulls are connected together to form a convex face polyhedron representing a biconvex slab based on the shape of the cross section);**

-e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab” **Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62, column 9 lines 25-55, column 10 lines 57-68, column 11 lines 1-25, column 12 lines 17-30, lines 65-68, column 13 lines 1-5, column 14 lines 17-68, column 15 lines 1-17, Figures 6A-6F; convex polygon edges representing convex hulls are connected together to form a convex face polyhedron representing a biconvex slab based on the shape of the cross section);**

-f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure” **(Yanof: column 15 lines 54-68, column 16 lines 1-32, Figures 7A-7D; the curved surfaces in the planar polyhedral volume can be displayed for the user as curved sections through the volumetric region).**

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Carroll with the teachings of Yanof because they are both directed towards methods and systems for three-dimensional vascular image data reconstruction. Carroll teaches a “base” method and system for three-dimensional coronary vascular tree reconstruction from multiple image datasets through the establishment of a vessel centerline and image transformation **(Carroll: abstract)**. Yanof teaches a “comparable” method and system for three-dimensional volumetric data reconstruction using a convex polyhedron polygon in the transformation and plane section defining means for determining the appropriate three-dimensional structure **(Yanof: abstract, column 2 lines 49-67, column 3 lines 1-15, column 14 lines 29-53)**. Yanof's known teachings could have been applied in the same way to the “base” teachings of Carroll, yielding predictable results. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Carroll with the teachings of Yanof in order to take advantage of an interactive three-dimensional reconstruction system that optimally “establishes the medial axis within a hierarch of branching straight or curve tube-shaped objects such as the vessels of a vascular tree”, and “establishes a volume of interest which contains a three-dimensionally convex item or sub region of interest such as a vessel with multiple branches” and automates the determination of cross-sectional areas within the vascular structure **(Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62)**. Kanitsar further teaches:

- a; “A method of visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the steps of” **(Kanitsar: page 43-44 “Abstract” and section 1 “Introduction”; method proposed for vascular visualization by improving CPR methods using spiral/helical re-sampling around a central axis):**
- b; “providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space” **(Kanitsar: page 43-45 section 1 “Introduction”, section 3 “Helical CPR”; non-invasive imaging data through CT/CTA or MR imaging data is gathered, wherein these datasets represent a plurality of intensities in a 2D or 3D space);**
- c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” **(Kanitsar: page 43-45 section 3 “Helical CPR”, Figures 2-3; a vessel central axis is defined, along with a spiral of interest and a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to the vascular central axis are determined as exemplified in Figure 3);**
- d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section” **(Kanitsar: pages 45-47 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7; a vascular tree is generated using**

vessel hulls to enclose each cross section, which are then combined to form various parts of the vascular tree);

-e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab” (**Kanitsar: pages 45-47 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7; a vascular tree is generated using vessel hulls to enclose each cross section, which are then combined to form various parts of the vascular tree);**

-f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure” (**Kanitsar: pages 47-48 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Carroll and Yanof with the teachings of Kanitsar because they are all directed towards methods and systems for three-dimensional vascular image data reconstruction. The combination of Carroll and Yanof teaches a “base” method and system for three-dimensional coronary vascular tree reconstruction from multiple image datasets through the establishment of a vessel centerline and image transformation (**Carroll: abstract**), that uses a convex polyhedron polygon in the transformation and plane section defining means for determining the appropriate three-dimensional structure (**Yanof: abstract, column 2 lines 49-67, column 3 lines 1-15, column 14 lines 29-53**). Kanitsar teaches a “comparable” method for vascular visualization using advanced curved planar reformation that improves upon existing methods through the “relaxation of spatial coherence in favor of

improved feature perception" (**Kanitsar: abstract**). Kanitsar's known teachings could have been applied in the same way to the "base" combination of Carroll and Yanof, yielding predictable results. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have been motivated to modify the combination of Carroll and Yanof with the teachings of Kanitsar in order to reduce the amount of distortion, efficiently utilize the image space to provide an unobscured display of the vascular tree, preserve isometry through the use of untangled CPR, and to ensure the visualization of important clinical features for diagnosis (**Kanitsar: page 44 abstract, page 48 section 4.4 "Layout definition", page 49 section 4 "Conclusion and discussion"**) .

Consider Claim 11: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches "the method of claim 1, further comprising displaying in three-dimensional a double-oblique cross-sectional slab location" (**Carroll: column 17 lines 57-67, column 18 lines 1-55, Figure 30; Yanof: column 8 lines 26-68, Figures 1 and 5; oblique cross-sectional planes are displayed in a 3D view**).

Consider Claim 12: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches "the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of interactively rotating said image of said vascular structure in order to determine a viewing vector" (**Yanof: column 6 lines 48-68, column 7 lines 1-55, Figure 2, 3A-3D, 4A-4C; user-interactive rotation is enabled of vascular models**).

Consider Claim 13: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches “the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of interactively zooming-in or zooming-out said image of said vascular structure” (**Yanof: column 6 lines 35-47, column 15 lines 39-48, Figure 2; magnified or zoomed in versions of the image are displayed and are available for user to interact with**).

Consider Claim 17:

Carroll teaches:

- a; “A program storage device readable by a computer, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the computer to perform the method steps for visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the steps of” (**Carroll: abstract, column 3 lines 12-68, column 4 lines 1-47, column 7 lines 1-62, Figure 1; methods and systems to view 3D reconstruction of vascular structures**):
- b; “providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space” (**Carroll: column 7 lines 1-67, column 8 lines 1-42, Figure 1, 9-13; Figure 9 details the methods for defining segments and extracting features from the arterial tree based on intensities**);

Art Unit: 2624

-c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” (**Carroll: column 8 lines 19-67, columns 9 lines 1-46, column 15 lines 19-67, column 16, column 17 lines 1-35, Figures 19, 20, and 27; a vascular central axis represented by the centerline points in the arterial tree are selected, and cross sectional planes tangential to the vessel centerline are selected**);

-f; “and rendering an image of said vascular structure” (**Carroll: column 26 lines 65-68, column 27 lines 1-38, Figure 52; a display of the color coded arterial tree is rendered**)

Bailey does not teach:

-d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section”;

-e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab”;

-f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure”.

Yanof teaches:

-a; “A program storage device readable by a computer, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the computer to perform the method steps for visualizing a vascular structure, said method

comprising the steps of” (Yanof: abstract, column 2 lines 49-68, column 3 lines 1-15, column 4 lines 48-62, column 5 lines 54-68, column 6 lines 1-31, Figures 1-2; a vascular structure can be visualized):

-b; “providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space” (Yanof: column 5 lines 54-68, column 6 lines 1-53, Figures 1-2);

-c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” (Yanof: column 6 lines 48-68, column 7 lines 1-30, Figures 2, 3A-3, 4A-C; a vascular central axis is defined (38), and a plurality of cross sections perpendicular or orthogonal to the axis are formed);

-d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section” (Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62, column 9 lines 25-55, column 10 lines 57-68, column 11 lines 1-25, column 12 lines 17-30, lines 65-68, column 13 lines 1-5, column 14 lines 17-68, column 15 lines 1-17, Figures 6A-6F; convex polygon edges representing convex hulls are connected together to form a convex face polyhedron representing a biconvex slab based on the shape of the cross section);

Art Unit: 2624

-e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab” **Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62, column 9 lines 25-55, column 10 lines 57-68, column 11 lines 1-25, column 12 lines 17-30, lines 65-68, column 13 lines 1-5, column 14 lines 17-68, column 15 lines 1-17, Figures 6A-6F; convex polygon edges representing convex hulls are connected together to form a convex face polyhedron representing a biconvex slab based on the shape of the cross section);**

-f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure” (**Yanof: column 15 lines 54-68, column 16 lines 1-32, Figures 7A-7D; the curved surfaces in the planar polyhedral volume can be displayed for the user as curved sections through the volumetric region).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Carroll with the teachings of Yanof because they are both directed towards methods and systems for three-dimensional vascular image data reconstruction. Carroll teaches a “base” method and system for three-dimensional coronary vascular tree reconstruction from multiple image datasets through the establishment of a vessel centerline and image transformation (**Carroll: abstract**). Yanof teaches a “comparable” method and system for three-dimensional volumetric data reconstruction using a convex polyhedron polygon in the transformation and plane section defining means for determining the appropriate three-dimensional structure (**Yanof: abstract,**

Art Unit: 2624

column 2 lines 49-67, column 3 lines 1-15, column 14 lines 29-53). Yanof's known teachings could have been applied in the same way to the "base" teachings of Carroll, yielding predictable results. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Carroll with the teachings of Yanof in order to take advantage of an interactive three-dimensional reconstruction system that optimally "establishes the medial axis within a hierarch of branching straight or curve tube-shaped objects such as the vessels of a vascular tree", and "establishes a volume of interest which contains a three-dimensionally convex item or sub region of interest such as a vessel with multiple branches" and automates the determination of cross-sectional areas within the vascular structure (**Yanof: column 4 lines 48-62**).

Kanitsar further teaches:

-a; "A method of visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the steps of" (**Kanitsar: page 43-44 "Abstract" and section 1**

"Introduction"; method proposed for vascular visualization by improving CPR methods using spiral/helical re-sampling around a central axis):

-b; "providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in a D-dimensional space" (**Kanitsar: page 43-45 section 1 "Introduction", section 3 "Helical CPR"; non-invasive imaging data through CT/CTA**

or MR imaging data is gathered, wherein these datasets represent a plurality of intensities in a 2D or 3D space);

-c; “selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to said vascular central axis” **(Kanitsar: page 43-45 section 3 “Helical CPR”, Figures 2-3; a vessel central axis is defined, along with a spiral of interest and a plurality of cross sections perpendicular to the vascular central axis are determined as exemplified in Figure 3);**

-d; “forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the shape of the cross section” **(Kanitsar: pages 45-47 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7; a vascular tree is generated using vessel hulls to enclose each cross section, which are then combined to form various parts of the vascular tree);**

-e; “connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab” **(Kanitsar: pages 45-47 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7; a vascular tree is generated using vessel hulls to enclose each cross section, which are then combined to form various parts of the vascular tree);**

-f; “and rendering said biconvex slab to form an image of said vascular structure” **(Kanitsar: pages 47-48 section 4 “Untangled CPR”, Figures 6-7).**

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Carroll and Yanof with the teachings of Kanitsar because they are all directed towards methods and systems for three-dimensional vascular image data reconstruction. The combination of Carroll and Yanof teaches a “base” method and system for three-dimensional coronary vascular tree reconstruction from multiple image datasets through the establishment of a vessel centerline and image transformation (**Carroll: abstract**), that uses a convex polyhedron polygon in the transformation and plane section defining means for determining the appropriate three-dimensional structure (**Yanof: abstract, column 2 lines 49-67, column 3 lines 1-15, column 14 lines 29-53**). Kanitsar teaches a “comparable” method for vascular visualization using advanced curved planar reformation that improves upon existing methods through the “relaxation of spatial coherence in favor of improved feature perception” (**Kanitsar: abstract**). Kanitsar’s known teachings could have been applied in the same way to the “base” combination of Carroll and Yanof, yielding predictable results. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have been motivated to modify the combination of Carroll and Yanof with the teachings of Kanitsar in order to reduce the amount of distortion, efficiently utilize the image space to provide an unobscured display of the vascular tree, preserve isometry through the use of untangled CPR, and to ensure the visualization of important clinical features for diagnosis (**Kanitsar:**

page 44 abstract, page 48 section 4.4 “Layout definition”, page 49 section 4 “Conclusion and discussion”).

Consider Claim 27: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches “the computer readable program storage device of claim 17, the method further comprising displaying in three-dimensional a double-oblique cross-sectional slab location” (**Carroll: column 17 lines 57-67, column 18 lines 1-55, Figure 30; Yanof: column 8 lines 26-68, Figures 1 and 5; oblique cross-sectional planes are displayed in a 3D view**).

Consider Claim 28: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches “the computer readable program storage device of claim 17, the method further comprising the step of interactively rotating said image of said vascular structure in order to determine a viewing vector” (**Yanof: column 6 lines 48-68, column 7 lines 1-55, Figure 2, 3A-3D, 4A-4C; user-interactive rotation is enabled of vascular models**).

Consider Claim 29: The combination of Carroll, Yanof, and Kanitsar teaches “the computer readable program storage device of claim 17, the method further comprising the step of interactively zooming-in or zooming-out said image of said vascular structure” (**Yanof: column 6 lines 35-47, column 15 lines 39-48,**

Figure 2; magnified or zoomed in versions of the image are displayed and are available for user to interact with).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

a. Claim 2 is not rejected because the prior art fails to teach the method of Claim 1, which specifically comprises the following features in combination with other recited limitations:

- ; The method of claim 1, wherein said rendering further comprises the steps of:
- ; defining a viewing vector perpendicular to a plane containing the vector of interest and the vascular central axis forming a scan line through the vascular structure and along the vector of interest, wherein said scan line includes a left point, a center point, and a right point;
- ; forming a square bounding box about the convex hull, wherein the intersection of each scan line with the bounding box defines a rendering range;

Art Unit: 2624

-; and emitting a ray through each pixel within the rendering range, wherein the rendering depth of the ray is within the maximum radius of the hull.

As claims 3-10 are dependent upon claim 2, they too carry forth the same limitations, and are not taught by the prior art.

8. Claims 18-26, likewise would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

b. Claim 18 is objected to because the prior art fails to teach the method of Claim 17, which specifically comprises the following features in combination with other recited limitations:

-; The computer readable program storage device of claim 17, wherein said rendering further comprises the steps of:

-; defining a viewing vector perpendicular to a plane containing the vector of interest and the vascular central axis forming a scan line through the vascular structure and along the vector of interest, wherein said scan line includes a left point, a center point, and a right point;

-; forming a square bounding box about the convex hull, wherein the intersection of each scan line with the bounding box defines a rendering range;

-; and emitting a ray through each pixel within the rendering range,
wherein the rendering depth of the ray is within the maximum radius of the
hull.

As claims 19-26 are dependent upon claim 18, they too carry forth the same
limitations, and are not taught by the prior art.

9. Claims 14-16 are allowed.

c. The prior art fails to teach the method of Claim 14, which specifically
comprises the following features in combination with other recited limitations:

- ; A method of visualizing a vascular structure, said method comprising the
steps of:
 - ; providing a digital image of a vascular structure wherein said image
comprises a plurality of intensities corresponding to a domain of points in
a D-dimensional space;
 - ; selecting a vascular central axis and a vector of interest in the image of
the vascular structure, and forming a plurality of cross sections
perpendicular to said vascular central axis;
 - ; forming a convex hull to enclose each cross section, wherein said
convex hull is oriented by said vector of interest and determined by the
shape of the cross section;
 - ; connecting each convex hull to form a biconvex slab;

Art Unit: 2624

- ; defining a viewing vector perpendicular to a plane containing the vector of interest and the vascular central axis;
- ; forming a scan line through the vascular structure and along the vector of interest, wherein said scan line includes a left point, a center point, and a right point;
- ; forming a square bounding box about the convex hull, wherein the intersection of each scan line with the bounding box defines a rendering range;
- ; and emitting a ray through each pixel within the rendering range, wherein the rendering depth of the ray is within the maximum radius of the hull.

As claims 15-16 are dependent upon claim 14, they too carry forth the same limitations, and are not taught by the prior art.

Some closely related prior art references are listed above, and the references cited in form PTO-1449. None of the references teaches the *method* recited in claim 14.

Especially, the references used in the rejection of the other claims are the most relevant references; Carroll et al. (US Patent 6,501,848 B1, hereby referred to as "Carroll"), Yanof et al. (US Patent 5,734,384, hereby referred to as "Yanof"), and Kanitsar et al. ("Advanced Curve Planar Reformation: Flattening of Vascular Structures", IEEE Visualization 2003, October 2003, hereby referred to as "Kanitsar"). Carroll and Yanof both teach a method for three-dimensional vascular visualization, and were used in

Art Unit: 2624

combination with Kanitsar to teach the methods for claims 1 and 17. Kanitsar, which was used in combination, teaches a method for three-dimensional vascular data visualization that is the most similar to the instant, but also fails to teach that the method of rendering would have the following limitations:

- ; defining a viewing vector perpendicular to a plane containing the vector of interest and the vascular central axis;
- ; forming a scan line through the vascular structure and along the vector of interest, wherein said scan line includes a left point, a center point, and a right point;
- ; forming a square bounding box about the convex hull, wherein the intersection of each scan line with the bounding box defines a rendering range;
- ; and emitting a ray through each pixel within the rendering range, wherein the rendering depth of the ray is within the maximum radius of the hull.

These limitations are also recited in claims 2 and 18, and are not taught by the prior art, either alone or in combination. As a result, the claim language for claims 14-16 which is highly dependent upon these limitations is allowable over the prior art, and can be used to overcome the prior art rejections cited for claims 1 and 17, as noted above.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2624

10. The prior art made of record in form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wenli Cai, "3D planar reformation of vascular central axis surface with biconvex slab", Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics 31 2007 570-576, Received 17 April 2006; received in revised form 11 May 2007; accepted 25 June 2007.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com.

Kanitsar, "Dissertation: Curved Planar Reformation for Vessel Visualization",

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN Institut für Computergraphik und

Algorithmen, Arbeitsbereich Computergraphik Published January 2004,

Supervisor: M. Eduard Gröller, Duration: March 2001 - January 2004, Available

online: <http://www.cg.tuwien.ac.at/research/publications/2004/Kanitsar-thesis/>.

Bailey; Anthony et al., US 7061484 B2, User-interface and method for curved multi-planar reformatting of three-dimensional volume data sets.

Rudy, Yoram et al., US 20040082870 A1, Systems and methods for determining a surface geometry.

Levoy; Marc et al., US 6097394 A, Method and system for light field rendering.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAHMINA ANSARI whose telephone number is 571-270-3379. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2624

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

/Bhavesh M Mehta/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

2624

/TA/

October 6, 2010